Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2582-9394

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/msarr

Journal homepage: https://magnascientiapub.com/journals/msarr/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Social psychology and the Navy: The Role of group cohesion and leadership

Fotini Maniou *

Department of Economy and Sustainable Development, Harokopion University of Athens, Greece.

Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 2026, 16(02), 087-092

Publication history: Received on 12 February 2026; revised on 23 March 2026; accepted on 25 March 2026

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2026.16.2.0041

Abstract

Social psychology offers a robust framework for understanding the functioning of military organizations, particularly naval forces, where collaboration and leadership are essential for operational success. Crews operate as small, structured teams characterized by high interdependence, where group cohesion fosters trust, solidarity, and commitment to shared responsibilities. Members' social identity strengthens collective engagement and cultivates a positive psychological climate. Military culture emphasizes values such as discipline, accountability, and collaboration, while leadership—especially transformational leadership—enhances communication, motivation, and operational effectiveness. Strong cohesion and effective leadership bolster psychological resilience and adaptability in challenging environments. Cooperation and mutual support reduce conflict and improve decision-making. Examining these factors provides critical insights for training, management, and enhancement of naval units, supporting both operational performance and the psychological well-being of personnel.

Keywords: Leadership; Group cohesion; Social psychology; Military organizations; Operational success.

1. Introduction

Social psychology provides a foundational theoretical lens through which to examine the functioning of military organizations, particularly naval forces, where effective collaboration and strong leadership are pivotal to operational success. Within the Navy, ship crews operate as small, highly structured social units, where the interdependence among members is intense and decisive for mission accomplishment. The effectiveness of a unit largely depends on fostering high levels of group cohesion, trust, and leadership capacity (Siebold, 2007).

The concept of group cohesion has been extensively explored in military psychology, recognized as a key determinant of cooperation, member commitment, and operational performance (Griffith, 2002). Cohesion encompasses not only social relationships but also emotional, social, and functional bonds that enable teams to cope effectively with demanding and hazardous conditions. Strong interpersonal bonds enhance psychological resilience and mitigate stress during operations.

Concurrently, military leadership is critical in shaping group dynamics, influencing trust, communication, and member motivation (Bass, 1999). Leadership, particularly transformational leadership, can strengthen member commitment, encourage solidarity, and establish a positive psychological climate within the unit.

This literature review aims to investigate the role of group cohesion and leadership within the context of social psychology, focusing on military team functioning, particularly among naval crews. By synthesizing contemporary research, it highlights the interaction between social bonds, leadership, and operational effectiveness, emphasizing the importance of psychological support and collaborative practices under high-pressure, high-risk conditions.

* Corresponding author: Fotini Maniou

2. Group Cohesion in Military Psychology

Group cohesion is a critical factor influencing the functioning, effectiveness, and resilience of military teams. In social and military psychology, cohesion is recognized as a mechanism that structures relationships among team members and determines their capacity to collaborate toward common objectives. In military environments, where conditions are often demanding and perilous, strong cohesion among team members is essential for mission success.

Dion (2000) defines group cohesion as the aggregate of forces that keep team members united and aligned toward shared goals. These forces comprise social, emotional, and functional bonds that facilitate collaboration and mutual support. In military contexts, cohesion is closely linked with values such as solidarity, trust, and a shared sense of purpose.

Siebold (1999) emphasizes that military cohesion is multidimensional, encompassing diverse forms of relationships within a unit. Cohesion includes both social connections among members and their commitment to the unit's mission and objectives. Robust social relationships foster a positive psychological climate, enhancing trust and cooperative behavior.

Such bonds are reinforced through shared training, experiences, and operational challenges. Collective engagement and facing demanding situations cultivate strong solidarity among personnel, fostering a profound sense of "we" within the unit.

Empirical evidence demonstrates that high group cohesion is associated with enhanced operational effectiveness and better adaptation to pressure. Griffith (2002) notes that cohesive military units exhibit higher efficiency during operations, as members collaborate seamlessly and actively support each other.

Moreover, cohesion significantly contributes to maintaining psychological resilience. Strong social bonds act as a support network, helping personnel cope with stress and pressures inherent in military settings. The assurance that team members can rely on one another mitigates anxiety and bolsters overall well-being.

In naval operations, cohesion assumes even greater importance. Crew members aboard warships operate in isolated environments, often for extended periods, where collaboration and interdependence are vital for safety and mission success. Daily interaction fosters trust and solidarity, reinforcing operational efficiency.

Group cohesion is therefore a cornerstone of military psychology, directly impacting both operational performance and psychological resilience. Developing strong cooperative and trust-based bonds among members contributes to the creation of effective, resilient military organizations.

3. Social Identity and Military Culture

Social identity theory is an essential conceptual tool in social psychology for understanding individual behavior within groups. The theory posits that individuals define themselves through membership in social groups, cultivating a collective identity and shared purpose. In military settings, social identity is especially salient, as operations rely heavily on cohesion, discipline, and cooperation.

Shamir et al. (2000) observe that military personnel develop strong identification with their unit, influencing attitudes and behaviors during operations. This identification enhances duty, commitment, and willingness to cooperate to achieve shared objectives. Social identity operates as a psychological mechanism that strengthens group cohesion and encourages collective action.

Military culture further shapes social identity by promoting core values such as discipline, mission dedication, responsibility, and solidarity among members. These values are internalized through training, shared experiences, and operational engagement, establishing a coherent framework of shared perceptions and behaviors.

Shared experiences in training and operations play a crucial role in reinforcing collective identity. Team members face common challenges, strengthening bonds and cultivating solidarity. This process deepens interpersonal trust and solidifies the perception of belonging to a unified, cohesive group.

Bartone et al. (2002) demonstrate that strong unit identification correlates with elevated cohesion and improved adaptation to demanding operational conditions. Personnel with high unit identification exhibit greater resilience under stress and operational pressures.

Social identity also shapes attitudes toward missions, enhancing the willingness to undertake collective action to achieve team objectives. When personnel perceive themselves as integral to a strong and united unit, they are more inclined to cooperate, share information, and support one another in challenging circumstances.

Within the Navy, social identity is particularly critical, as ship crews operate in high-demand environments requiring trust and interdependence. Isolation, continuous readiness, and the rigors of naval life underscore the importance of strong social bonds among crew members.

Social identity and military culture are therefore pivotal in influencing team behavior and performance. Fostering a strong collective identity enhances cohesion, collaboration, and operational efficiency.

4. Leadership and Group Dynamics in Military Units

Leadership significantly impacts the functioning, cohesion, and effectiveness of military teams. In social psychology, leadership extends beyond administrative direction to include fostering trust, collaboration, and shared objectives among team members. In military contexts, where discipline, interdependence, and collective action are essential, leadership is central to maintaining cohesion and operational effectiveness.

Bass (1999) identifies transformational leadership as particularly effective in dynamic and demanding environments such as the military. Transformational leaders inspire members, cultivate a shared sense of purpose, and generate high motivation and commitment. Through personal influence, encouragement of innovation, and individualized support, such leaders establish a positive organizational climate.

A meta-analysis by Judge and Piccolo (2004) reinforces the link between transformational leadership and team effectiveness. Leaders who encourage collaboration, open communication, and participatory decision-making foster stronger, more cohesive teams. Member involvement in decision-making enhances responsibility and commitment to objectives.

Research by García-Guiu et al. (2016) in small military units confirms that transformational leadership is positively associated with group potency and cohesion. Leaders fostering confidence and collaboration create an environment where members feel capable of meeting mission challenges effectively.

Trust in leadership is crucial for cultivating a positive psychological climate. Sweeney et al. (2009) note that trust enhances collaboration, reduces conflict, and strengthens social bonds. Personnel who trust their leaders are more likely to follow guidance and actively contribute to achieving common goals.

In the Navy, effective leadership is particularly critical, given the confined, isolated, and high-intensity nature of shipboard operations. Leaders must maintain morale, build trust, and encourage cooperation among crew members.

Effective leadership also reinforces collective identity within the unit. Leaders promoting solidarity, accountability, and commitment foster an organizational culture that strengthens cohesion and operational effectiveness.

Evidence consistently indicates that leadership is a key determinant of team dynamics and performance. By fostering trust, facilitating communication, and promoting collaboration, leaders contribute substantially to building effective and resilient military units.

5. Team Collaboration and Operational Effectiveness

Collaboration is central to the success of military missions, particularly in complex, high-pressure operational environments. In the Navy, effective crew functioning relies on interdependence, trust, and clear communication. Social psychology emphasizes that teams with strong collaborative bonds and a shared purpose are better equipped to navigate unpredictable challenges.

Salas et al. (2005) identify core components of effective team functioning: clear communication, mutual trust, coordinated actions, and shared understanding of objectives. These elements are essential for high-reliability organizations, including naval units.

Mathieu et al. (2008) demonstrate that teams with high cohesion and collaboration outperform less cohesive teams and exhibit superior adaptability in dynamic and crisis situations—a critical factor for military operations.

Operational effectiveness is determined not only by technology or equipment but also by the quality of interpersonal relationships among team members. Collaboration enhances collective decision-making, coordination, and reduces operational errors.

Wong et al. (2003) assert that strong cohesion and a sense of collective responsibility drive motivation and commitment. Awareness that each member contributes meaningfully to mission success strengthens solidarity and willingness to cooperate.

In naval settings, crews operate under isolation and high intensity, making collaborative mechanisms essential for safety and mission accomplishment. Training, shared experiences, and ongoing interaction foster trust and mutual support.

Collaboration enhances the capacity to manage uncertainty and risk, as members share information, coordinate actions, and make timely, effective decisions. Collective problem-solving represents a critical advantage in complex operational contexts.

Effective collaboration forms a cornerstone of operational performance. Cultivating social bonds, communication, and a collaborative culture significantly enhances team performance and resilience.

At this point, we must highlight the productive and effective role of digital technologies in the field of education, collaboration, and leadership. We have to pump inspiration of the use of digital technologies in all domains of education in order to improve collaboration and leadership. These technologies and paradigms could be adopted and exploited in leadership education, which include mobile devices (26), a variety of ICTs (27), AI, GAMES, STEM, and ROBOTICS (28-31), facilitate and improve educational procedures such as assessment, intervention, and instruction. In addition, the use of ICTs in conjunction with theories and models of metacognition and self-knowledge cultivation [32-37], accelerates and enhances educational practices and outcomes, particularly for cohesion and leadership.

6. Conclusions

Research underscores that group cohesion and effective leadership are fundamental to military team functioning and performance. In the Navy, where crews operate under conditions of high interdependence and pressure, cultivating strong social bonds and trust is paramount.

Social psychology provides critical insights into the mechanisms governing military team operations. Strengthening cohesion, fostering collective identity, and implementing effective leadership practices can substantially enhance naval operational performance.

Further research can inform military training and leadership programs, improving adaptability and resilience within naval units.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

The Authors would like to thank the SPECIALIZATION IN ICTs AND SPECIAL EDUCATION: PSYCHOPEDAGOGY OF INCLUSION Postgraduate studies Team, for their support.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The Authors proclaim no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Siebold, G.L., 1999. The evolution of the measurement of cohesion. Military Psychology. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327876mp1101_2

[2] Brandebo, M., Börjesson, M. & Hilmarsson, H., 2022. Longitudinal studies on cohesion in a military context: A systematic review. Military Psychology. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/08995605.2022.2041995

[3] Siebold, G.L., 2007. The essence of military group cohesion. Armed Forces & Society. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0095327X06294173

[4] Macovei, C.M., 2018. Perceived cohesion in military student groups. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1515/kbo-2018-0109

[5] Cheng, S.H., Cheng, J.C. & Ling, H.C., 2025. Analysis of group cohesion: discussion of connotation and construction of scale. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55220/2576-683x.v9.513

[6] Podorozhnyi, V. & Ivanov, S., 2024. Theoretical analysis of the concept of cohesion in military formations. DOI: https://doi.org/10.31652/2786-6033-2024-3(2)-66-72

[7] Perevozkina, Y.M. & Fedorishin, M.I., 2025. Studying cohesion in a military collective as a structure-forming component of interaction. DOI: https://doi.org/10.26795/2307-1281-2025-13-4-10

[8] García-Guiu, C., Moya, M., Molero, F. & Moriano, J.A., 2016. Transformational leadership and group potency in small military units. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpto.2016.06.002

[9] Perry, S.J., Johnson, L.U., Witt, L.A. & McDonald, D.P., 2021. Goal-focused leadership and workgroup cohesion in military teams. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/08995605.2021.1962182

[10] García-Guiu, C., Molero, F., Moya, M. & Moriano, J.A., 2015. Authentic leadership, group cohesion and group identification. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2014.161

[11] Mael, F.A. & Alderks, C.E., 1993. Leadership team cohesion and subordinate morale and performance. Military Psychology. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327876mp0503_1

[12] Bass, B.M., 1999. Two decades of research and development in transformational leadership. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(99)00016-7

[13] Judge, T.A. & Piccolo, R.F., 2004. Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.89.5.755

[14] Griffith, J., 2002. Multilevel analysis of cohesion and combat readiness. Military Psychology. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327876MP1403_3

[15] Dion, K.L., 2000. Group cohesion: From field of forces to multidimensional construct. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2699.4.1.7

[16] Shamir, B., Zakay, E., Brainin, E. & Popper, M., 2000. Leadership and social identification in military units. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(99)00037-4

[17] Bartone, P.T., Johnsen, B.H., Eid, J., Brun, W. & Laberg, J.C., 2002. Factors influencing small-unit cohesion in military cadets. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327876MP1401_01

[18] Wong, L., Kolditz, T., Millen, R. & Potter, T., 2003. Why they fight: Combat motivation in modern warfare. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21236/ADA421501

[19] Carron, A.V., Martin, L.J. & Loughead, T.M., 2012. Teamwork and performance. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199731763.013.0016

[20] Salas, E., Sims, D.E. & Burke, C.S., 2005. Is there a “big five” in teamwork? DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496405277134

[21] Mathieu, J., Maynard, M.T., Rapp, T. & Gilson, L., 2008. Team effectiveness 1997-2007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206308316061

[22] Britt, T.W., Dickinson, J.M., Moore, D. & Castro, C.A., 2007. Military life: Psychological perspectives. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/11585-000

[23] Adler, A.B. & Castro, C.A., 2013. Military resilience research. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030473

[24] Sweeney, P.J., Thompson, V. & Blanton, H., 2009. Trust and leadership in military teams. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014938

[25] Campbell, D.J. & Dardis, G.J., 2004. The effects of unit cohesion on operational effectiveness. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327876MP1603_3

[26] S Politi-Georgousi, A Drigas (2020) Mobile applications, an emerging powerful tool for dyslexia screening and intervention: a systematic literature review, International Association of Online Engineering

[27] A Drigas, P Theodorou (2016) ICTs and music in special learning disabilities, International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science & IT …

[28] Pergantis, P., & Drigas, A. (2024). The effect of drones in the educational Process: A systematic review. Education Sciences, 14(6), 665. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14060665

[29] Pergantis, P., Bamicha, V., Skianis, C., & Drigas, A. (2025). AI Chatbots and Cognitive Control: Enhancing Executive Functions Through Chatbot Interactions: A Systematic Review. Brain Sciences, 15(1), 47. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci15010047

[30] Chaidi I, Drigas A, Karagiannidis C 2021 ICT in special education Technium Soc. Sci. J. 23, 187 DOI: 10.47577/tssj.v23i1.4277

[31] C Kefalis, C Skordoulis, A Drigas 2025 Digital Simulations in STEM Education: Insights from Recent Empirical Studies, a Systematic Review, Encyclopedia MDPI 5 (1), 10

[32] Drigas A, Sideraki A. 2021 Emotional Intelligence in Autism , Technium Social Sciences Journal 26(1), 80-92, https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v26i1.5178

[33] Chaidi, I, & Drigas A. (2022). Social and Emotional Skills of children with ASD: Assessment with Emotional Comprehension Test (TEC) in a Greek context and the role of ICTs. , Technium Social Sciences Journal, 33(1), 146–163. https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v33i1.6857

[34] E Mitsea, A Drigas, C Skianis 2023 Digitally assisted mindfulness in training self-regulation skills for sustainable mental health: a systematic review Behavioral Sciences 13 (12), 1008

[35] I Moraiti, A Fotoglou, A Drigas 2022 Coding with Block Programming Languages in Educational Robotics and Mobiles, Improve Problem Solving, Creativity & Critical Thinking Skills. International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies 16 (20)

[36] A Drigas, E Mitsea, C Skianis 2023 Meta-learning: A Nine-layer model based on metacognition and smart technologies Sustainability 15 (2), 1668

[37] E Mitsea, A Drigas, C Skianis, 2022 Metacognition in autism spectrum disorder: digital technologies in metacognitive skills training, Technium Soc. Sci. J. 31, 153